arXiv:1210.4307v4 [math.RT] 23 Nov 2012

Linear and Shalika local periods for the mirabolic group, and some consequences

Nadir MATRINGE*

November 26, 2012

Abstract

Using linear periods on the mirabolic subgroup of $GL(n,F)$, for F a non archimedean local field, we give a list of the maximal Levi subgroups of $GL(n,F)$ which can distinguish a discrete series, and a generic representation. We also obtain the functional equation of the local exterior-square L-function of a generic representation of $GL(n,F)$ when $n=2m$ is even. Then we discuss the relation between Shalika models and local models for representations of $GL(2m,F)$. Finally we give a necessary and sufficient condition on the cuspidal representation ρ for a generalised Steinberg representation $St_k(\rho)$ of $GL(2m,F)$ to have a local model.

1 Introduction

Let F be a nonarchimedean local field. We use the results of [M], which allow to understand the distinction of representations of the mirabolic subgroup P_n of $G_n=GL(n,F)$, with respect to a Levi of G_n intersected with P_n, to study various problems.

Let G be a locally compact totally disconnected group, and H a closed subgroup of G. When we say a representation of G, we mean a complex representation, which is smooth (i.e. such that every vector in the space of the representation, is fixed by an open subgroup of G). We say that a represntation (π,V) of G is H-distinguished if there is a nonzero linear form on V, which is fixed under H. A particularly interesting situation is when H is a subgroup of G fixed by an involution.

Distinguished representations of reductive p-adic groups are of course of importance for the harmonic analysis of the homogeneous space G/H, but they are also related (in the Langlands programm) with arithmetical problems, for example the occurrence of singularities of local L-functions associated with irreducible representations of G.

In this paper, we study the pair G_n, $H=L$, with L a maximal Levi subgroup of G_n. We first study the L-distinguished representations Δ which embed in the regular representation $L^2(G/Z)$, where Z is the center of G (the so called discrete series representations).

We actually show that no such representation exist unless n is even, and $L\simeq G_{n/2}\times G_{n/2}$ (Theorem 3.1). Then we study a more general (and very important) class of representations of G_n, the so-called generic representations, and show in this case that if a generic representation of G_n is L-distinguished, then $L\simeq G_{n/2}\times G_{n/2}$ when n is even, and $L\simeq G_{[n/2]+1}\times G_{[n/2]}$ when n is odd ((Theorem 3.2).

Another application of the results of [M] which is given here is the local functional equation of the exterior-square L-function of a generic representation π of G_n, for n even. This result has been obtained by a global argument for generic representations π occuring as local components of cuspidal automorphic representations in [K-R]. Here the proof is local and works with no

---

*Nadir Matringe, Université de Poitiers, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, Téléport 2 - BP 30179, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie, 86962, Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex. Email: Nadir.Matringe@math.univ-poitiers.fr

restriction on the generic representation π.
It is a result of [J-R] that when n is even, irreducible representations of G_n admitting a Shalika functional, are $G_{n/2} \times G_{n/2}$-distinguished. A converse is obtained in [J-N-Q] for cuspidal representations, and actually extends (Theorem 5.1, which is a theorem from Sakellaridis and Venkatesh, called the unfolding principle) to a larger class of representations, namely the relatively (square)-integrable representations of G_n with respect to $G_{n/2} \times G_{n/2}$.
Now the existence of a Shalika model for a discrete series Δ of a representation is equivalent to the occurrence of a pole at zero in the exterior-square L-function of Δ (Proposition 6.1), defined by Jacquet and Shalika. But this L-function is known to be equal to the exterior-square L-function of the Langlands parameter of Δ according to the main result of [K-R].
Writing Δ as a generalised Steinberg representation $St_k(\rho)$, with ρ a cuspidal representation of a smaller general linear group, this allows us to give necessary and sufficient conditions on ρ for Δ to have a Shalika, or equivalently a local model (Theorem 6.1).

2 Preliminaries

We start with the groups at stake in the paper.
Let F be a nonarchimedean local field, with normalised absolute vakue $|.|$. We denote $GL(n,F)$ by G_n for $n \geq 1$, we will denote $|det(g)|$ by $|g|$ for a matrix in G_n. The group N_n will be the unipotent radical of the standard Borel subgroup B_n of G_n given by upper triangular matrices.
For $n \geq 2$ we denote by U_n the group of matrices $u(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & x \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for x in F^{n-1}.

For $n > 1$, the map $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an embedding of the group G_{n-1} in G_n, we denote by P_n the subgroup $G_{n-1}U_n$ of G_n.
We fix a nontrivial character θ of $(F,+)$, and denote by θ again the character $n \mapsto \theta(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} n_{i,i+1})$ of N_n. The normaliser of $\theta_{|U_n}$ in G_{n-1} is then P_{n-1}.
Suppose $n = p+q$, with $p \geq q \geq 1$, we denote by $M_{(p,q)}$ the standard Levi of G_n given by matrices $\begin{pmatrix} h_p & \\ & h_q \end{pmatrix}$ with $h_p \in G_p$ and $h_q \in G_q$, and by $M_{(p,q-1)}$ the standard Levi of G_{n-1} given by matrices $\begin{pmatrix} h_p & \\ & h_{q-1} \end{pmatrix}$ with $h_p \in G_p$ and $h_{q-1} \in G_{q-1}$. We denote by $M_{(p-1,q-1)}$ the standard Levi of G_{n-2} given by matrices $\begin{pmatrix} h_{p-1} & \\ & h_{q-1} \end{pmatrix}$ with $h_{p-1} \in G_{p-1}$ and $h_{q-1} \in G_{q-1}$.
Let $w_{p,q}$ be the permutation matrix of G_n corresponding to the permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & p-q & p-q+1 & p-q+2 & \dots & p-1 & p & p+1 & \dots & p+q-2 & p+q-1 & p+q \\ 1 & \dots & p-q & p-q+1 & p-q+3 & \dots & p+q-3 & p+q-1 & p-q+2 & \dots & p+q-4 & p+q-2 & p+q \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $w_{p,q-1}$ be the permutation matrix of G_{n-1} corresponding to the permutation $w_{p,q}$ restricted to $\{1,\dots,n-1\}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & p-q & p-q+1 & p-q+2 & \dots & p-1 & p & p+1 & \dots & p+q-2 & p+q-1 \\ 1 & \dots & p-q & p-q+1 & p-q+3 & \dots & p+q-3 & p+q-1 & p-q+2 & \dots & p+q-4 & p+q-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $w_{p-1,q-1}$ be the permutation matrix of G_{n-2} corresponding to the permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & p-q & p-q+1 & p-q+2 & \dots & p-2 & p-1 & p & \dots & p+q-3 & p+q-2 \\ 1 & \dots & p-q & p-q+1 & p-q+3 & \dots & p+q-5 & p+q-3 & p-q+2 & \dots & p+q-4 & p+q-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We denote by $H_{p,q}$ the subgroup $w_{p,q}M_{(p,q)}w_{p,q}^{-1}$ of G_n, by $H_{p,q-1}$ the subgroup $w_{p,q-1}M_{(p,q-1)}w_{p,q-1}^{-1}$ of G_{n-1}, and by $H_{p-1,q-1}$ the subgroup $w_{p-1,q-1}M_{(p-1,q-1)}w_{p-1,q-1}^{-1}$ of G_{n-2}.
Considering G_{n-1} (resp. G_{n-2}) as a subgroup of G_n (resp. G_{n-1}) given by matrices of the form

$\begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then one has $H_{p,q} \cap G_{n-1} = H_{p,q-1}$, and $H_{p,q-1} \cap G_{n-2} = H_{p-1,q-1}$.

Now we recall basic definitions concerning distinguished representations of l-groups. When G is an l-group (locally compact totally disconnected group), we denote by $Alg(G)$ the category of smooth complex G-modules. If (π, V) belongs to $Alg(G)$, H is a closed subgroup of G, and χ is a character of H, we denote by δ_H the positive character of $N_G(H)$ such that if μ is a right Haar measure on H, and int is the action of $N_G(H)$ given by $(int(n)f)(h) = f(n^{-1}hn)$ on smooth functions f with compact support on H, then $\mu \circ int(n) = \delta_H(n)\mu$ for n in $N_G(H)$.
If H is a closed subgroup of an l-group G, and (ρ, W) belongs to $Alg(H)$, we define the object $(ind_H^G(\rho), V_c = ind_H^G(W))$ and $(Ind_H^G(\rho), V = Ind_H^G(W))$ of $Alg(G)$ as follows. The space V is the space of smooth functions from G to W, fixed under right translation by the elements of a compact open subgroup U_f of G, satisfying $f(hg) = \rho(h)f(g)$ for all h in H and g in G. The space V_c is the subspace of V, consisting of functions with support compact mod H. The action of G is by right translation on the functions.
We denote by $\mathbf{1}_H$ the trivial character of H (which we simply write $\mathbf{1}$ if $H = G_0$ is the trivial group). We say that a representation π of G is H-distinguished if the space $Hom_H(\pi, \mathbf{1}_H)$ is nonzero. More generally, if χ is a character of H, we say that π is (H, χ)-distinguished if $Hom_H(\pi, \chi)$ is nonzero.

We will need the work of Bernstein and Zelevinsky concerning the classification of irreducible representations of G_n. We first define the following functors following [B-Z]:

- The functor Φ^+ from $Alg(P_{k-1})$ to $Alg(P_k)$ such that, for π in $Alg(P_{k-1})$, one has $\Phi^+\pi = ind_{P_{k-1}U_k}^{P_k}(\delta_{U_k}^{1/2}\pi \otimes \theta)$.
- The functor Ψ^+ from $Alg(G_{k-1})$ to $Alg(P_k)$, such that for π in $Alg(G_{k-1})$, one has $\Psi^+\pi = ind_{G_{k-1}U_k}^{P_k}(\delta_{U_k}^{1/2}\pi \otimes 1) = \delta_{U_k}^{1/2}\pi \otimes 1$.

A discrete series representation Δ of G_n is an irreducible representation such that $\chi \otimes \Delta$ has a coefficient which is square-integrable mod the center Z_n of G_n, for some character χ of F^*. We recall ([Z], theorem 9.3) that if Δ is a discrete series of G_n, there is a unique couple (k, r) of positive integers such that $kr = n$, and a unique (up to isomorphism) cuspidal representation ρ of G_r, such that Δ is the only irreducible quotient of the representation $|.|^{(1-k)/2}\rho \times \dots \times |.|^{(k-1)/2}\rho$ of G_n, obtained as the normalised parabolically induced representation of the represntation $|.|^{(1-k)/2}\rho \otimes \dots \otimes |.|^{(k-1)/2}\rho$ of the standard Levi of G_n isomorphic to $(G_r)^k$. We then denote $\Delta = St_k(\rho)$. If convenient, we will sometimes denote $[\rho, \dots, |.|^k\rho] = |.|^{k/2}St_{k+1}(\rho)$. By definition, if $\Delta = [\rho, \dots, |.|^k\rho]$ and l is an integer in $\{0, \dots, n\}$, we denote by $\Delta^{(l)}$ the representation of G_{n-l} which is zero if l is not a multiple of r, and which is $[|.|^a\rho, \dots, |.|^k\rho]$ if $l = ar$ ($\Delta^{(n)} = \mathbf{1}$).
A generic representation π of G_n is by definition an irreducible representation which admits an embedding in the compactly induced representation $ind_{N_n}^{G_n}(\theta)$, in which case it is known that the embedding is unique up to scaling by elements of $\mathbb{C}^*$. One denotes $W(\pi, \theta)$ the image of this embedding and calls it the Whittaker model of π. Theorem 9.7 of [Z] then asserts that a generic representation π of G_n is of the form $\Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$, where the Δ_i's are unique unlinked (see [Z] for definition) discrete series of G_{n_i}, with $\sum_i n_i = n$.

We will need the following result, which is a consequence of Subsection 3.5 and lemma 4.5 of [B-Z], and Proposition 9.6 of [Z]:

Proposition 2.1. *Let ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r, and $n = kr$ for $k \geq 1$, then the restriction $(St_k(\rho)_{|P_n}, V)$ has a filtration $\{0\} = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_{k-1} \subset V_k = V$ with $V_{k-i+1}/V_{k-i} \simeq (\Phi^+)^{ir-1}\Psi^+(|.|^{i/2}St_{k-i}(\rho))$ for i in $\{1, \dots, k\}$, and with the convention that $St_0(\rho)$ is $\mathbf{1}$.*
Let $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ be a representation of G_n which is a product of discrete series, then its restriction to P_n has a filtration, in which each factor is of the form $(\Phi^+)^{n-k-1}\Psi^+(\tau)$, for

$k \leq n-1$, and τ is a representation of G_k of the form $\Delta_1^{(n_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t^{(n_t)}$ for integers n_i which are ≥ 0, moreover $(\Phi^+)^{n-1}\Psi^+(\mathbf{1})$ appears with multiplicity exactly 1.

We will be concerned with representations of G_{2m} admitting local models, and Shalika models. If $P_{(m,m)}$ is the standard parabolic subgroup of G_{2m} corresponding to the partition (m,m), with Levi subgroup $M_{m,m}$, and unipotent radical $N_{m,m}$. Call S_{2m} the subgroup of $P_{(m,m)}$ of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} g & X \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$, isomorphic to a semi-dierct product $G_n \ltimes N_{m,m}$. Any non-trivial character ψ of F defines a character Ψ of S_{2m} by $\Psi \begin{pmatrix} g & X \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} = \psi(Tr(g^{-1}X))$.
A representation (π, V) of G_{2m} is said to admit a Shalika model if it is (S_{2m}, Ψ)-distinguished for a (equivalently any) non-trivial character ψ of F, the corresponding invariant linear form is called a Shalika functional. We will say that a representation (τ, V) of P_{2m} has a Shalika model if it is $(S_{2m} \cap P_{2m}, \Psi)$-distinguished for a non-trivial character ψ of F.
A representation (π, V) of G_{2m} is said to admit a local (or linear) model if it is $M_{n,n}$-distinguished, and the corresponding invariant linear form is called a linear period. We will say that a representation (τ, V) of P_{2m} has a local model if it is $(M_{m,m} \cap P_{2m})$-distinguished.

Finally, we recall some facts about the Jacquet-Shalika exterior-square L-function of a generic representation of G_n, and the local Langlands correspondance for G_n.
Let π be a generic representation of G_{2m}. With $n = 2m$, it is shown in [J-R] the the following integrals converge absolutely for $Re(s)$ greater than a real r depending on π:

$$J(W, \phi, s) = \int_{N_n \backslash G_n} \int_{Lie(B_m^-)} W(w_{m,m} \begin{pmatrix} g & X \\ & g \end{pmatrix} w_{m,m}^{-1}) \theta^{-1}(tr\ X) dX \phi(\epsilon_m g) |g|^s dg$$

for W in $W(\pi, \theta)$, ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, and ϵ_m the row vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ of F^m.
The define holomorphic functions on this half-plane, having meromorphic continuation as rational functions of q^{-s}. Moreover, the vector space of these rational functions is actually a factorial ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q^{-s}, q^s]$, which is generated by a unique local Euler factor (the inverse of a polynomial $P(q^{-s})$ with $P(0) = 1$, see for example Proposition 3.2 of [M-Y] for this point) which is denoted by $L(\pi, \wedge^2, s)$, and is called the Jacquet-Shalika exterior square L-function of π.
Let W_F be the Weil group of F (see [B-H], Chapter 29), and let $W'_F = W_F \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ be the Weil-Deligne group of F. We now recall that according to a theorem by Harris and Taylor/Henniart, there is a natural bijection from the set of isomorphy classes of irreducible representations of G_n to the the set of isomorphy classes of n-dimansional semi-simple representations of W'_F, called the Langlands correspondance, which we denote by ϕ. Let's denote by $Sp(k)$ the (up to isomorphism) unique algebraic k-dimensional irreducible representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then any finite dimensional semi-simple representations of W'_F is a direct sum of representations of the form $\tau \times Sp(k)$, where τ is an irreducible representation of W_F. We take for definition of $L(\tau \times Sp(k), s)$ the definition (31.3.31) of [B-H], where $\tau \times Sp(k)$ corresponds to the Weil-Deligne representation of W_F denoted the same way in [B-H]. We extend the definition to all semi-simple representations of W'_F by putting $L(\tau \oplus \tau', s) = L(\tau, s)L(\tau', s)$. Then by definition, the exterior-square L-function $L(\tau, \wedge^2, s)$ of a finite dimensional semi-simple representations τ of W'_F, is $L(\wedge^2(\tau), s)$, where $\wedge^2(\tau)$ is the exterior-square of τ.

3 Levi subgroups of G_n with respect to which discrete series and generic representations can be distinguished

We recall the following proposition which follows from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 of [M] (in which one has injections instead of isomorphisms, but they are actually isomorphisms):

Proposition 3.1. *Let σ belong to $Alg(P_{n-1})$, then there is a positive character $\chi_{p,q}$ of $P_{n-1} \cap H_{p,q-1}$, independant of σ, such that*

$$Hom_{P_n \cap H_{p,q}}(\Phi^+\sigma, \mathbf{1}) \simeq Hom_{P_{n-1}\cap H_{p,q-1}}(\sigma, \chi_{p,q}).$$

Let σ' belong to $Alg(P_{n-2})$, then there is a positive character $\chi_{p,q-1}$ of $P_{n-2} \cap H_{p-1,q-1}$ independant of σ', such that

$$Hom_{P_{n-1}\cap H_{p,q-1}}(\Phi^+\sigma', \mathbf{1}) \simeq Hom_{P_{n-2}\cap H_{p-1,q-1}}(\sigma, \chi_{p,q-1}).$$

It has as the following first corollary:

Corollary 3.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, and p and q two integers with $p + q = n$ and $p - 1 \geq q \geq 0$, and χ be a positive character of $P_n \cap H_{p,q}$, then one has $Hom_{P_n\cap H_{p,q}}((\Phi^+)^{n-1}\Psi^+(\mathbf{1}), \chi) = 0$.*

Proof. Using repeatedly the last two propositions, we get the existence of a positive character χ' of P_{p-q+1} such that $Hom_{P_n\cap H_{p,q}}((\Phi^+)^{n-1}\Psi^+(1), \mathbf{1}) \hookrightarrow Hom_{P_{p-q+1}\cap H_{p-q+1,0}}((\Phi^+)^{p-q}\Psi^+(1), \chi') = Hom_{P_{p-q+1}}((\Phi^+)^{p-q}\Psi^+(1), \chi')$, and this last space is 0 because $(\Phi^+)^{p-q}\Psi^+(1)$ and χ' are two non isomorphic irreducible representations of P_{p-q+1}, according to corollary 3.5 of [B-Z]. ☐

Another obvious corollary is the following proposition, which we will use in the next paragraph, to prove the functional equation of the exterior square L-function when n is even.

Corollary 3.2. *Let ρ be an irreducible representation of G_k, then the representation $\pi = (\Phi^+)^{2m-k-1}\Psi^+(\rho)$ is $(H_{m,m} \cap P_{2m})$-distinguished if and only if ρ is $(H_{k/2,k/2}, \mu_{2m}^{k})$-distinguished when $k = 2p$ is even, and $(H_{(k+1)/2,(k-1)/2}, \mu_{2m}^{k})$-distinguished when $k = 2p - 1$ is odd, where $\mu_{2m}^{2p} = \chi_{m,m}\chi_{m,m-1} \cdots \chi_{p+1,p+1}$ and $\mu_{2m}^{2p-1} = \chi_{m,m}\chi_{m,m-1} \cdots \chi_{p+1,p}$.*

Now we prove the first result about Levi subgroups that can distinguish a discrete series, the statement is the same as for cuspidal representations.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Δ be a discrete series representation of G_n, L be a maximal Levi sbgroup of G_n, and μ be a positive character of L, such that Δ is μ-distinguished, then n is even and $L \simeq M_{n/2,n/2}$.*

Proof. As L is conjugate to some $H_{p,q}$, for $p \geq q \geq 0$ (and $p + q = n$), it is sufficient to show that for any positive character μ of $H_{p,q}$, the discrete series Δ cannot be $(H_{p,q}, \mu)$-distinguished if $p \geq q + 1$. We write $\Delta = St_k(\rho)$ with ρ a cuspidal representation of G_r, with $kr = n$, we are going to prove by induction on k that Δ cannot be $(H_{p,q}, \mu)$-distinguished when $p \geq q + 1$. The case $k = 1$ is Theorem 2.1 of [M].
Now suppose Δ is $(H_{p,q}, \mu)$-distinguished, then the restriction $\Delta_{|P_n}$ is $(H_{p,q}, \mu)$-distinguished, which implies, according to Proposition 2.1, that there is an integer i in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that the representation $(\Phi^+)^{ir-1}\Psi^+(|.|^{i/2}St_{k-i}(\rho))$ is $P_n \cap H_{p,q}$-distinguished. But i cannot be k according to Corollary 3.1, and if $i > k$, then applying repeatedly Proposition 3.1, we deduce that for some positive character χ, the representation $\Psi^+(|.|^{i/2}St_{k-i}(\rho))$ of P_{n-ir+1} is $(P_{n-ir+1} \cap H_{p-l,q-l}, \chi)$-distinguished or $(P_{n-ir+1} \cap H_{p-l,q-l-1}, \chi)$-distinguished for $l = [ir/2]$, depending on the parity of ir. This in turn implies that $St_{k-i}(\rho)$ is $(H_{p-l,q-l-1}, |.|^{-i/2}\chi)$-distinguished or $(H_{p-l-1,q-l-1}, |.|^{-i/2}\chi)$-distinguished, which is impossible by induction hypothesis.
Thus Δ cannot be $(H_{p,q}, \mu)$-distinguished if $p \geq q + 1$. ☐

This statement doesn't extend without changes to generic representations, see for example [P] or [V], where one sees that the G_3-modules $St_2(1) \times 1$ and $\rho \times 1$ are $M_{2,1}$-distinguished for every cuspidal ρ of G_2 with trivial central character. The correct statement is the following in this case.

Theorem 3.2. *Let π be a generic representation of G_n, and L a Levi subgroup of G_n, such that π is L-distinguished, then $L \simeq M_{n/2,n/2}$ if n is even, and $L \simeq M_{(n+1)/2,(n-1)/2}$ if n is odd.*

Proof. We prove this result by induction again. We recall that if $\Delta = [\rho, \dots, |.|^k\rho]$ and $\Delta' = [\rho', \dots, |.|^l\rho']$ are two discrete series, we say that Δ precedes Δ' if Δ' is actually of the form $[|.|^a\rho, \dots, |.|^{a+l}\rho]$ with $a + l > k$. We will actually need to prove the following stronger statement: if π is the representation $\Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ of G_n, where Δ_{i+1} doesn't precede Δ_i, then $L \simeq M_{n/2,n/2}$ if n is even, and $L \simeq M_{(n+1)/2,(n-1)/2}$ if n is odd (notice that the Δ_i's always commute in a generic representation, hence they can always be ordered this way).
We start with $n = 2$ and 3. In the case $n = 2$, the representation π is G_2-distinguished if and only if the trivial representation is a quotient of π, but the only product of discrete series having $\mathbf{1}_{G_2}$ as a quotient is $\pi = |.|^{1/2} \times |.|^{-1/2}$, which contradicts the hypothesis that Δ_{i+1} doesn't precedes Δ_i. The same argument works for the case $n = 3$, because the only product of discrete series having $\mathbf{1}_{G_3}$ as a quotient is $\pi = |.|^1 \times |.|^0 \times |.|^{-1}$.
Now suppose that n is even, and that $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ is $H_{p,q}$-distinguished for $p > q$, then again by Proposition 2.1, there would be a representation $\tau = \Delta_1^{(n_1)} \times \dots \times \Delta_t^{(n_t)}$ of G_k with $k \leq n-1$, such that $(\Phi^+)^{n-k-1}\Psi^+(\tau)$ is $H_{p-l,q-l-1}$-distinguished. The integer k cannot be 0 according to Corollary 3.1. If $k > 0$, then again applying repeatedly Proposition 3.1, we deduce that for some positive character χ, the representation τ is $(H_{p-l,q-l-1}, \chi)$-distinguished if k is odd, or $(H_{p-l-1,q-l-1}, \chi)$-distinguished if k is even, for some integer l. But this contradicts the induction hypothesis as the discrete series $\Delta_i^{(n_i)}$ still satisfy the property that $\Delta_{i+1}^{(n_{i+1})}$ doesn't precede $\Delta_i^{(n_i)}$ (as $\Delta^{(n)}$ is obtained by erasing the left end of the "segment" Δ).
The case n odd is treated similarly. ☐

4 The functional equation of the local exterior square L-function, when n is even

Here n is even and equals $2m$. A functional equation for the exterior square L-function is proved by a global argument, for generic representations appearing as local components of a cuspidal automorphic representation of the adelic points of G_{2m} in [K-R]. Here we give a local proof, which works for all generic representations of G_{2m}.

We first adapt in a straightforward way the arguments of [J-R] to show that if a representation of P_{2m} has a Shalika model, it has a local model. If τ a irreducible representation of P_{2m} which has Shalika functional L, we will call the Shalika model of τ (with respect to L) the space of maps $p \mapsto L(\tau(p)v)$ for v in the space of τ.

Proposition 4.1. *Let τ be an irreducible representation of P_{2m} which has Shalika functional L, then there is a real t_0, such that for every S in the corresponding Shalika model of τ, the integral $\int_{G_m} S\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix} |a|^s d^*a$ is absolutely convergent for $Re(s)$ larger thean t_0. Moreover there is a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}|X]$ such that $l(S,s) = P(q^{-s})I(S,s)$ is entire for any S in the Shalika model of τ, and one can even choose P such that $l(S,0)$ is nonzero for at least one S. Then $l(S) = l(S,0)$ is a linear period on τ, and the map $L \mapsto l$ is injective.*

Proof. Let τ be an irreducible representation of P_{2m}. There is $k \leq 2m$, such that $\tau = (\Phi^+)^{2m-k-1}\Psi^+(\rho)$ for some irreducible representation ρ of τ. Suppose that it has a Shalika functional L, then for every S in the corresponding Shalika model of τ, consider the integral

$$\int_{G_m} S\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix} |a|^s d^*a.$$

The existence of a nonzero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $l(S,s) = P(q^{-s})I(S,s)$ is entire for any S in the Shalika model of τ, is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.1 of [J-R], which is still valid in our situation. Indeed, one only needs to replace the groups M_i and P_i by $M_i \cap P_n$ and $P_i \cap P_n$, and check that τ, hence its Jacquet modules τ_{u_i} (for U_i the unipotent radical of P_i) are

admissible, but this follows from the fact that the functors Φ^+ and Ψ^+ preserve admissibility, which is trivial for Ψ^+, and is true for Φ^+ because one induces compactly.
Now we show that the meromorphic function $I(S,s)$ is not zero for at least one S. Assume the contrary, and take a complex numbers s_0 such that $Re(s_0) \geq t_0$. Then every function $\phi_S : a \mapsto S\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix} |a|^{s_0-n}$ belongs to $L^1(Lie(G_m), da)$. But then for every x in $Lie(G_m)$, on has $0 = I(\tau\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix} S) = \widehat{\phi_S}(x)$, where $\widehat{\phi_S}(x)$ is the fourier transform $\int_{Lie(G_m)} \phi_S(a)\theta(Tr(ax))da$. This implies that ϕ_S must be zero, but $S \mapsto \phi_S(I_m) = S(I_n)$, is by hypothesis a nonzero Shalika functional on τ, a contradiction. Hence $I(S,s)$ is not zero for at least one S, this easely implies that $P(q^{-s})$ can be chosen such that $l(S,s)$ is not zero at zero for one S. it also shows that $L \mapsto l$ is injective. ☐

This has as a consequence the functional equation of the square-exterior L-function in the even case. We will denote by $\pi^\vee$ the contragredient of the representation π of G_{2m}. If π is generic, and $W \in W(\pi,\theta)$, we will denote by $\widetilde{W}$ the map $g \mapsto W(w_{2m}{}^t g^{-1})$, where w_{2m} is the matrix corresponding to the permutation $i \mapsto 2m-i$, hence $\widetilde{W} \in W(\pi^\vee, \theta^{-1})$. Finally if ϕ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, we will denote by $\widehat{\phi}$ its Fourier transform (choosing a measure which is self-dual with respect to θ).

Theorem 4.1. *Let π be a generic representation of G_{2m}, there exists an invertible element $\epsilon(\pi,\wedge^2,s)$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^s,q^{-s}]$, such that for every W in $W(\pi,\theta)$, and every ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, one has the following functional equation:*

$$\epsilon(\pi,\wedge^2,s,\theta)\frac{J(W,\phi,s)}{L(\pi,\wedge^2,s)} = \frac{J(\rho(w_{n,n})\widetilde{W},\widehat{\phi},1-s)}{L(\pi^\vee,\wedge^2,1-s)}$$

Proof. In the following we put $n=2m$. We first prove that $dim(Hom_{P_{2m}\cap H_{m,m}}(\pi,|.|^s)) \leq 1$ for all values of q^{-s}, except a finite number. Indeed, π has a filtration with each factor of the form $(\Phi^+)^{n-k-1}\Psi^+(\tau)$ according to Proposition 2.1, for $k \leq n-1$, and where τ a representation of G_k admitting a central character. For every irreducible representation τ of G_k, for $k \geq 1$, we deduce that $Hom_{P_{2m}\cap H_{m,m}}((\Phi^+)^{n-k-1}\Psi^+(\tau),|.|^s))$ is zero except for a finite number of q^{-s}, as a consequence of Corollary 3.2 and the fact that τ has a central character. For all other values of q^{-s}, we deduce that $dim(Hom_{P_{2m}\cap H_{m,m}}(\pi,|.|^s)) \leq dim(Hom_{P_{2m}\cap H_{m,m}}((\Phi^+)^{n-1}\Psi^+(\mathbf{1}),|.|^s))$ which is less or equal to 1 according to Corollary 3.2 again. Hence this proves our assertion about $dim(Hom_{P_{2m}\cap H_{m,m}}(\pi,|.|^s))$. Then Proposition 4.1 implies that $dim(Hom_{P_{2m}\cap S_{2m}}(\pi,|.|^s\theta)) \leq 1$ for all values of q^{-s} except a finite number, with $|.|^s\theta\begin{pmatrix} p & x \\ & p \end{pmatrix} = |g|^s\theta(Tr(p^{-1}x))$. The Shalika subgroup S_{2m} acts by $\begin{pmatrix} g & x \\ & g \end{pmatrix}\phi(t) = \phi(tg)$ on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, and it is easily verified that $B_s : (W,\phi) \mapsto \frac{J(W,\phi,s)}{L(\pi,\wedge^2,s)}$ and $\widetilde{B}_s : (W,\phi) \mapsto \frac{J(\rho(w_{n,n})\widetilde{W},\widehat{\phi},1-s)}{L(\pi^\vee,\wedge^2,1-s)}$ correspond to elements of $Hom_{S_{2m}}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m),|.|^{-s}\theta)$. Because of π's central character, the space $Hom_{S_{2m}}(\pi,|.|^{-s}\theta)$ is zero except for for a finite number of values of q^{-s}, hence the space $Hom_{S_{2m}}(\pi\otimes\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m),|.|^{-s}\theta)$ is equal to $Hom_{S_{2m}}(\pi\otimes\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^m),|.|^{-s}\theta)$ except for those values ($\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^m)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$ consisting of functions vanishing at zero). But

$$Hom_{S_{2m}}(\pi\otimes\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^m),|.|^{-s}\theta) \simeq Hom_{S_{2m}}(\pi\otimes ind_{S_{2m}\cap P_{2m}}^{S_{2m}}(1),|.|^{-s}\theta)$$

$$\simeq Hom_{S_{2m}}(\pi, Ind_{S_{2m}\cap P_{2m}}^{S_{2m}}(|.|^{-s+1}\theta)) \simeq Hom_{S_{2m}\cap P_{2m}}(\pi,|.|^{-s+1}\theta)),$$

the first isomorhism identifying $S_{2m}\cap P_{2m}\backslash S_{2m}$ with $F^m - \{0\}$, and the last by Frobenius reciprocity law. As a consequence, we deduce that except for a finite number of q^{-s}, the dimension of $Hom_{S_{2m}}(\pi\otimes\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m),|.|^{-s}\theta)$ is less or equal to 1. This implies that B_s and $\widetilde{B}_s$ are proportional up to an element of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$. This element is actually a unit of $\mathbb{C}[q^{-s},q^s]$ because of Proposition 3.2 of [M-Y], which asserts that $J(W,\phi,s)$ can be 1 for a good choice of W and ϕ. ☐

We will explain why we don't think such methods would apply for the odd case at the end of the next section.

5 Relation between Shalika and local models

As we said before, any irreducible representation of G_{2m} admitting a Shalika model, admits a local model. The converse is true at least for cuspidal representations, it is proved in [J-N-Q], amongst many other properties of such representations. However, in this case, one can give a very short proof, which extends to a larger class of representations.
We will say that an irreducible $M_{m,m}$-distinguished representation π of G_{2m} (with invariant linear form L) is relatively integrable (resp. relatively square-integrable) if there is (or equivalently for any) v in the space of π, the relative coefficient $g \mapsto L(\pi(g)v)$ belongs to $L^1(M_{m,m}\backslash G_{2m})$ (resp. $L^2(M_{m,m}\backslash G_{2m})$). Cuspidal $M_{m,m}$-distinguished representations are relatively cuspidal (i.e. their relative coefficients belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M_{m,m}\backslash G_{2m})$), according to [K-T], and $M_{m,m}$-distinguished discrete series are relatively L^2 according to [K-T 2]. The following theorem is a consequence of the principle of unfolding, explained in [S].

Theorem 5.1. *[S] Let π be a relatively integrable, or relatively square-integrable $M_{m,m}$-distinguished representation of G_{2m}, it admits a Shalika model.*

Proof. The proof is that of Proposition 4.3 of [S], we give it here, using simpler tools due to the particular situation. We start with the L^1 case.
Let L be a nonzero $M_{m,m}$-invariant linear form on the space V of π. The map $\Phi : v \mapsto [g \mapsto L(\Delta(g)v)]$ gives an injection of the G_{2m}-module π into $L^1(M_{m,m}\backslash G_{2m})$.
Call ϕ_v the function on $\mathcal{M}_m = \mathcal{M}_m(F)$ defined by $x \mapsto \Phi(v)\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$, it follows from Iwasawa decomposition that the functions ϕ_v belongs to $L^1(\mathcal{M}_m)$.
Indeed choose an open compact subgroup U of the maximal compact subgoup K_{2m} of G_{2m} leaving ϕ_v invariant by right translation, then one has

$$+\infty > \int_{M_{m,m}\backslash G_{2m}} |\Phi(v)(g)|dg = \int_{N_{m,m}\times K_{2m}} |\Phi(v)(nk)|dndk \geq Vol(U) \int_{N_{m,m}} |\Phi(v)(n)|dn.$$

This implies that the integral $\int_{N_{m,m}} |\Phi(v)(n)|dn = \int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\phi_v(x)|dx$ is finite.
Because of the relations $\phi_{\pi\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x_0 \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}v}(x) = \phi_v(x+x_0)$, and $\phi_{\pi\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \\ & g_2 \end{pmatrix}v}(x) = \phi_v(g_2^{-1}xg_1)$, the map $v \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{M}_m} \phi_v(x)\theta(Tr(-x))dx$ will be a Shalika functional if it is nonzero. But if it was zero, replacing v by $\pi\begin{pmatrix} I_m & \\ & g_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}v$, one would deduce that for any v, the integral $\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} \phi_v(x)\theta(Tr(-g_2x))dx$ is zero, i.e. the Fourier transform of ϕ_v would be zero on G_m, which is a total subset of $\mathcal{M}_m$. Hence ϕ_v (which is smooth) would be zero for any v, which is not possible as $\phi_v(0) = L(v)$.
For the L^2-case, according to [S], the G_{2m}-equivariant map

$$U : \Phi \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}(g) = \int_{M_{2m}\cap S_{2m}\backslash S_{2m}} \Phi(sg)\theta^{-1}(s)ds$$

from $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M_{2m}\backslash G_{2m})$ to $\mathcal{C}^\infty(S_{2m}\backslash G_{2m}, \theta)$ extends to a L^2 isometry between the $L^2(M_{2m}\backslash G_2m)$ and $L^2(S_{2m}\backslash G_{2m}, \theta)$. Indeed, take Φ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M_{2m}\backslash G_{2m})$, one has

$$\int_{M_{2m}\cap S_{2m}\backslash S_{2m}} \Phi(sg)\theta^{-1}(s)ds = \int_{\mathcal{M}_m} \Phi(\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix} g)\theta(-Tr(x))ds.$$

Integrating over $M_{2m}\backslash G_{2m} \simeq N_{m,m}K_{2m}$ thanks to Iwasawa decomposition, and denoting $\Phi^K(g) = \int_{K_{2m}} \phi(gk)dk$ and $\phi^K(x) = \Phi^K \begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix}$, one gets $\displaystyle\int_{M_{2m}\backslash G_{2m}} |\Phi(g)|^2 dg = \int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\phi^K(x)|^2 dg$, but according to Parseval identity for ϕ^K, this equals:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\phi^K(x)|^2 dg = \int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\widehat{\Phi^K}(x)|^2 dx = \int_{G_m} |\widehat{\phi^K}(x)|^2 dx = \int_{G_m}\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\phi^K(y)\theta(Tr(-xy))dy|^2 dx \\
&\textstyle= \int_{G_m}\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\phi^K(yx^{-1})\theta(Tr(-y))dy|^2 |x|^{-2m} dx = \int_{G_m}\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\phi^K(yx^{-1})\theta(Tr(-y))dy|^2 |x|^{-m} d^*x \\
&\textstyle= \int_{G_m}\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\Phi^K(\begin{pmatrix} I_m & y \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x & \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix})\theta(Tr(-y))dy|^2 |x|^{-m} d^*x \\
&\textstyle= \int_{S_{2m}\backslash G_{2m}}\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\Phi(\begin{pmatrix} I_m & y \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix} g)\theta(Tr(-y))dy|^2 d^*g = \int_{S_{2m}\backslash G_{2m}} |\tilde{\Phi}(g)|^2 d^*g
\end{aligned}$$

The first equality on the last line is thanks to Iwasawa decomposition $G_{2m} = S_{2m}G_mK_{2m}$ again. But if Δ is relatively square integrable, then it is a submodule of the smooth part $L^{2,\infty}(M_{2m}\backslash G_2 m)$ of $L^2(M_{2m}\backslash G_2 m)$, hence $U(\Delta)$ is a submodule of $L^{2,\infty}(S_{2m}\backslash G_{2m}, \theta)$, and $\Phi \mapsto U(\Phi)(1)$ is a Shalika functional on Δ. ☐

By [B-D], representations of the type $\pi_s = \rho|.|^s \times \rho^\vee|.|^{-s}$ are $M_{n,n}$-distinguished for any irreducible representation ρ of G_n, and any s in $\mathbb{C}$. Let σ be the involution $g \mapsto w_{2m}gw_{2m}^{-1}$ of G_{2m}, it can be checked, looking at the exponents of the representation π_s on the Jacquet modules corresponding to σ-parabolic subgroups of G_{2m} (it means the parabolic subgroups P such that $\sigma(P)$ is opposite to P, see [K-T] for this notion), that π_s is relatively integrable for $Re(s)$ sufficiently large. Hence we see that the class of relatively integrable representations (to which our theorem applies) of G_{2m} strictly contains the class of cuspidal representations of G_{2m}.

Of course, it is false that in general, irreducible $M_{m,m}$-distinguished representations of G_{2m} have a Shalika model (for example the trivial representation of G_2). However, all infinite dimensional representations of G_2 (i.e. the generic representations) admit a local and a Shalika model at the same time, i.e. when their central character is trivial. It is indeed classical that a generic representation with trivial character of G_2 is $M_{1,1}$ -distinguished, and in this case, a Shalika functional is nothing else than a Whittaker functional.

We thus ask the following question:

Question 5.1. *Does a generic representation of G_{2m} has a local model if and only if it has a Shalika model?*

Actually, the answer is yes, and has been known for sometime, as he told us, by Wee Teck Gan. We briefly sketch the argument that he has communicated to us here, the result being part of his forthcoming paper [G]. It is a consequence of the theta correspondance for the pair (G_{2m}, G_{2m}), which gives an isomorphism between the space of linear periods of a representation π of G_{2m}, and the space of the Shalika periods of the Theta lift $\Theta(\pi)$ of G_{2m}. But for generic representations, one can show that $\Theta(\pi)$ is irreducible, hence isomorphic to its unique irreducible quotient which is π according to [Mi], and the answer follows. Actually it even gives a sufficient condition on $\Theta(\pi)$ (namely being irreducible) for the converse "*linear period $\Rightarrow$ Shalika period*$''$ to be true. The Shalika periods for G_4 have been characterised in [G-T].

We end this section with a word about the functional equation of the exterior-square L-function in the odd case. If π is a generic representation of G_{2m+1}, the corresponding Jacquet-Shalika integrals $J(W, s)$ (see [J-S] for the definition) which define the exterior-square L-function of π are elements of $Hom_{S_{2m}}(|.|^{s-1}\pi, \theta)$, where $S_{2m} < G_{2m}$ which embeds in G_{2m+1}. But it is a consequence of Theorem 3 of [P], that every generic representation of G_{2m} is a quotient of $\pi_{|G_{2m}}$. In particular, every generic representation of G_{2m} with a Shalika model (for example of the type $\rho|.|^u \times \rho^\vee|.|^{-u}$ discussed above), gives rise to linearly independant elements of $Hom_{S_{2m}}(|.|^{s-1}\pi, \theta)$, which thus always have infinite dimension. Hence one can not hope to prove the functional equation using an argument of multiplicity 1 as in the even case.

6 Discrete series of G_{2m} with Shalika/local models

First we recall a result of [K], characterizing discrete series of G_{2m} admitting a Shalika model in terms of poles of the Jacquet-Shalika exterior square L-function.

Proposition 6.1. *(Theorem 4.3. of [K]) Let* $n = 2m$*, and* Δ *be a square-integrable representation of* $GL(n,F)$*, then it admits a Shalika model if and only if* $L(\Delta, \wedge^2, s)$ *has a pole at zero.*

Now we express the exterior-square L function of the Langlands parameter of $\Delta = St_k(\rho)$ of G_{2m} in terms of the exterior and symmetric-square L-function of the Langlands parameter of the cuspidal representation ρ of G_r (with $kr = 2m$).

Proposition 6.2. *Let* $\phi(\Delta)$ *and* $\phi(\rho)$ *be the Langlands parameters of* $\Delta = St_k(\rho)$ *and* ρ *respectively. One has the equality*

$$L(\phi(\Delta), s) = \prod_{i=0}^{[(k-1)/2]} L(\wedge^2, \phi(\rho), s+k-2i-1) \prod_{j=0}^{[k/2-1]} L(Sym^2, \phi(\rho), s+k-2j-2).$$

Proof. We recall that we denote by $\phi(\rho)$ the r-dimensional representation of the Weil group W_F of F, which is the Galois parameter of ρ, and that $Sp(k)$ the (up to isomorphism) unique algebraic k-dimensional irreducible representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. In this case, the Galois parameter $\phi(\Delta)$ of $St_k(\rho)$ is the representation $\phi(\rho) \otimes Sp(k)$ of W'_F. But it is then an exercise to see that the representation $\wedge^2(\phi(\rho)) \otimes Sp(k))$ is isomorphic to

$$\wedge^2(\phi(\rho)) \otimes Sym^2(Sp(k)) \bigoplus Sym^2(\phi(\rho)) \otimes \wedge^2(Sp(k)).$$

Moreover one shows that $Sym^2(Sp(k)) \simeq \oplus_{i=0}^{[(k-1)/2]} Sp(2k-1-4i)$ and $\wedge^2(Sp(k)) \simeq \oplus_{i=0}^{[k/2-1]} Sp(2k-3-4i)$. Taking L-functions, this gives the formula:

$$L(\phi(\Delta), s) = \prod_{i=0}^{[(k-1)/2]} L(\wedge^2, \phi(\rho), s+k-2i-1) \prod_{j=0}^{[k/2-1]} L(Sym^2, \phi(\rho), s+k-2j-2).$$

□

Finally using Corollary 1.4 of [K-R], we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* Δ *be the representation* $St_k(\rho)$ *of* G_{2m}*.*
If k *is odd,* Δ *has a Shalika (or a local) model if and only if* $L(\rho, \wedge^2, s)$ *has a pole at zero, or equivalently if and only if* ρ *has Shalika (or a local) model.*
If k *is even,* Δ *has a Shalika (or a local) model if and only if* $L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s)$ *has a pole at zero (where* $\phi(\rho)$ *is the Langlands parameter of* ρ*).*

Proof. According to Corollary 1.4 of [K-R], the Rankin-Selberg exterior-square L-function of Δ coincide with the exterior-square L-function of its Langlands parameter. But we know from Proposition 6.1, that Δ has a Shalika model if and only if $L(\Delta, \wedge^2, s)$, hence $L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, s)$, has a pole at zero. But because the central character of ρ is unitary, we see that $L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, s)$ has a pole at zero if and only if k is odd and $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)$ has a pole at zero, or k is even, and $L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s)$ has a pole at zero. The statement of the theorem follows (keeping Corollary 1.4 of [K-R] in mind). □

References

[B-Z] J. N. Bernstein and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups*, Ann. Sc. E.N.S., 1977.

[B-D] P. Blanc, P. Delorme, *Patrick Vecteurs distributions H-invariants de représentations induites, pour un espace symétrique réductif p-adique G/H*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), no. 1, 213–261.

[B-H] C.J. Bushnell, G. Henniart, *The local Langlands conjecture for* GL(2). Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 335. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[C-P] J. Cogdell and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *exterior-square function for $GL(n)$*, Talk given at the Fields Institute, April 1994. http://www.math.osu.edu/ cogdell.1/

[G] W.T. Gan, *Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi models of the Weil representaton*, in preparation.

[G-T] W.T. Gan, S. Takeda, *On Shalika periods and a theorem of Jacquet-Martin.*, Amer. J. Math. 132 (2010), no. 2, 475–528.

[J-R] H. Jacquet, S. Rallis, *Uniqueness of linear periods*, Compositio Mathematica , tome 102, n.1, (1996), 65-123.

[J-S] H. Jacquet, J. Shalika, *Exterior square L-functions*, in "Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. II" (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), 143–226, Perspect. Math., 11, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[J-N-Q] D. Jiang; C. Nien; Y. Qin, *Local Shalika models and functoriality*, Manuscripta Math. 127, (2008), 187-217.

[K-T] S-I. Kato and K. Takano, *Subrepresentation theorem for p-adic symmetric spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, no. 11.

[K-T 2] S-I. Kato and K. Takano, *Square integrability of representations on p-adic symmetric spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), no. 5, 1427–1451.

[K] P.K. Kewat, *The local exterior square L-function: Holomorphy, non-vanishing and Shalika functionals*, Journal of Algebra, vol. 347, no. 1, pp. 153-172, 2011.

[K-R] P.K. Kewat, R. Raghunathan, *On the local and global exterior square L-functions*, preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.412

[M] N. Matringe, *Cuspidal representations of $GL(n, F)$ distinguished by a maximal Levi subgroup, with F a non-archimedean local field*, to appear in CRAS. http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3925

[Mi] A. Mingez, *Correspondance de Howe l-modulaire : paires duales de type II*, These de doctorat, $http : //www.math.jussieu.fr/\ minguez/Alberto_Minguez/Publications.html.$

[M-Y] M. Miyauchi, T. Yamauchi, *Local newforms and formal exterior square L-functions*, preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.6841.

[P] D. Prasad, *On the decomposition of a representation of GL(3) restricted to GL(2)*, Duke J. of Maths, vol. 69, 167-177 (1993)

[S] Y. Sakellaridis , *Plancherel formula, unfolding, regularized local periods and their nonvanishing.* Notes from myf the talk talk at the 60th birthday conference of Patrick Delorme, 16 June 2012. http://andromeda.rutgers.edu/ sakellar/research.html

[V] Venketasubramanian C. G., *Representations of GL(n) distinguished by GL(n-1) over a p-adic field*, PHD thesis.

[Z] A.V. Zelevinsky, *induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II*, Ann.Sc.E.N.S., 1980.